

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

cu

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,408	01/26/2005	Jean-Michel Baroche	859-B01.PCT.US	5529
7590 09/25/2007 Jean-Michel Baroche			EXAMINER	
Protections Equinox Int'l Inc Suite 224 4480 Cote-de-Liesse			MISKA, VIT W	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Montreal, QC CANADA		2833		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/522,408	BAROCHE, JEAN-MICHEL
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
•	Vit W. Miska	2833
The MAILING DATE of this communication		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Period for Reply	appears on all dover allock in	and don't open denote address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	•	
	——· This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		ters prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion	·
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		•
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	arawii irom consideration.	
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) 1-19 are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.	•
Application Papers		•
_		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		
Applicant may not request that any objection to	•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor		
	Lammer. Note the attached	d Office Action of John P 10-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in A	application No
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the p</li></ol>	riority documents have been	received in this National Stage
application from the International Bur		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.
,		
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)
2)		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:	, , ,

Art Unit: 2833

## Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

- 1. Figs. 1-6
- 2. Fig. 7
- 3. Figs. 8,9
- 4. Figs. 10-12
- 5. Fig. 13
- 6. Fig. 14

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Species 1: claims 1-11, 19

Species 2: claims 12-13

Species 3: claim 14

Species 4: claims 15-16

Species 5: claim 17

Species 6: claim 18

The following claim(s) are generic: Claim 1.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the special technical feature of claim 1 common to all claims has been shown to lack an inventive step, for example in view of the references in International Search Report (US 6002651, 4681127, CH 276863).

A telephone call was made to J. Baroche on 9/14/2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 10/522,408

Art Unit: 2833

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vit W. Miska whose telephone number is 571-272-2108. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, P. Bradley can be reached on 571-272-2001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

> Vit W. Miska **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 2833

VM 9/14/2007